Grammar & writing	Connection to the UN	Preambulatory part reflects thorough research/understanding	Operative part thoroughly explained	Innovative thinking & problem solving
5: No mistakes; very well written. Excellent use of preambulatory and operative clauses and format is precise.	5: The UN could/would truly address this issue.	5: Evidence of great understanding and explanation of the problem/issue. Includes thorough definitions, facts, statistics, past UN efforts and how it might be solved based on statistics and facts.	5: Resolution explains who is involved (UN agency), what needs to be done and how. Time and money constraints detailed. Plan refers to problem/issue.	5: Creative and innovative thinking evident in plan of action. Solution is reasonably possible.
3: A few mistakes; needs more polishing. Some preambulatory and operative clauses misused and format is not precise.	3: The UN might address this issue it were more focused, less region specific or better tied to a UN purpose.	3: Uses a combination of facts, statistics and generalities to explain the problem and how it might be solved.	3: Resolution includes some but not all of these parts: UN agency involved, what to be done, money, time constraints. Plan echoes problem/issue.	3: Plan of action is effective but typical. Solution is somewhat possible.
1: So many mistakes that it's distracting. Preambulatory and operative clauses need to connect better to the sentences and the format needs correction.	1: Not an issue the UN would address.	1: Very little evidence of understanding and/or research on the issue.	1: Plan is vague and expresses more hope than concrete ideas.	1: Nothing creative or innovative in the plan.

Scale: 5 = best 3= fair 1=poor