

| <i>Grammar & writing</i> | <i>Connection to the UN and the world</i> | <i>Preambulatory part reflects thorough research/understanding</i> | <i>Operative part thoroughly explained</i> | <i>Innovative thinking & problem solving</i> |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>5: No mistakes; very well written.</p> <p>Excellent use of preambulatory and operative clauses and format is precise.</p> | <p>5: The UN could/would truly address this international issue. Sustainable Dev. Goal included</p> <p>Connects with other countries</p> <p>Effective use of agencies</p> | <p>5: Evidence of great understanding and explanation of the problem/issue. Includes specific definitions, facts, statistics, past UN efforts and how it might be solved.</p> <p>Cites info source. Includes other nations impacted</p> | <p>5: Resolution explains who is involved (UN agency), what needs to be done and how.</p> <p>Time and money needs explained.</p> | <p>5: Creative and innovative thinking evident in plan of action. Solution, money & time needed are reasonably possible.</p> |
| <p>3: A few mistakes; needs more polishing.</p> <p>Some preambulatory and operative clauses misused and format is not precise.</p> | <p>3: The UN might address this issue if we were more focused, less region specific or better tied to a UN purpose.</p> <p>Sustainable Development Goal included</p> | <p>4: Needs more definitions; research is too general. Mentions impact on other nations</p> <p>3: Uses a combination of facts, statistics and generalities to explain the problem and how it might be solved.</p> | <p>3: Resolution includes some but not all of these parts: UN agency involved, what to be done, money, time constraints, UN Dev. Goal</p> <p>Plan echoes problem/issue.</p> | <p>3: Plan of action is effective but typical.</p> <p>Solution is somewhat possible.</p> |
| <p>1: So many mistakes that it's distracting.</p> <p>Preambulatory and operative clauses need to connect better to the sentences and the format needs correction.</p> | <p>1: Not an issue the UN would address</p> <p>No Sustainable Development Goal mentioned</p> | <p>1: Very little evidence of understanding and/or research on the issue.</p> | <p>1: Plan is vague and expresses more hope than concrete ideas.</p> | <p>1: Nothing creative or innovative in the plan.</p> <p>Amount of time and money needed is unreasonable.</p> |

Scale: 5 = best 3 = fair 1 = poor